

Hydroxymethyl group conformation in aldohexopyranosides

What can ¹³C-¹H and ¹³C-¹³C J-couplings tell us?

<u>Roland Stenutz,</u>¹ Brian Hertz,² Ian Carmichael,³

Anthony S. Serianni²

¹Department of Chemistry, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, P. O. Box 7015, SE-750 07 Uppsala, Sweden ²Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry and ³Radiation Laboratory, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame IN 46556

Introduction

A knowledge of the conformation of oligo- and polysaccharides is a prerequisite to understanding their role in cell recognition and immunology. The overall conformation of oligosaccharide chains is determined by the glycosidic torsion angles φ_H (H1-C1-On-Cn) and ψ_{H} (C1-On-Cn-Hn). In aldohexopyranoses linked in position 6 the ω torsion angle (O5-C5-C6-O6) is also of importance. The conformation of the ω torsion is best described as an equilibrium between the three staggered rotamers (scheme 1). The proportions of the rotamers can be determined from the ${}^{3}J_{H5,H6}$ [1]





Table 2:Experimental ${}^{2}J_{HH}$ and ${}^{1}J_{CC}$ for the four methyl glycopyranosides

	α -D-galacto	β-D- <i>galacto</i>	α-D- <i>gluco</i>	β-D- <i>gluco</i>
$J_{H6R,H6S}^{1}$	-11.65	-11.74	-12.31	-12.34
	44.7	44.8	43.3	43.3

or ${}^{3}J_{C4,H6}$ [2] coupling constants. However the results vary substantially depending on the assumed values for the coupling constants in the three rotameric states. Conformational analysis of the C5-C6 linkage by ¹H-NMR has been reviewed by Bock and Duus [1].

The use of additional coupling constants in the analysis can increase the accuracy of the calculated rotamer populations and provides a control on the consistency of the calculation. In order to identify *J*-values which may be useful we investigated the dependence of several homo- and hetero-nuclear couplings on the ω - and C5-C6-O6-HO6 torsions. The results of these calculations were then used to interpret the coupling constants in four methyl glycosides; α - and β -D-galactopyranoside and α - and β -Dglucopyranoside.



Calculations

a model for 2-hydroxymethyloxane was used as aldohexopyranoses (carbohydrate numbering is employed in the following discussion). The conformations of staggered and eclipsed rotamers of the C5-C6 linkage and each of the staggered rotamers of the C6-O6 linkage (total of 6*3=18 structures) for the model compound were calculated using the DFT formalism [3]. All

Figure 2: ${}^{2}J_{CH}$ calculated by DFT.



Figure 3: RMS deviation between calculated and experimental ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH}$ (a) ${}^{3}J_{\rm CH}$ (b) and ${}^{2}J_{\rm CH}$ (c) values respectively in methyl α -Dgalactopyranoside. An overlay of the 1Hz contours is shown in d.



Interpretation of the experimental results

Hydroxymethyl orientation

The root-mean-square deviations (RMS) between experimental and calculated coupling constants for the nine stable conformers were calculated (figure 3&4). The lowest RMS values, *i. e.* from the best fitting C6-O6 rotamer, are displayed as functions of the populations in the three staggered C5-C6 rotamers. Areas with good agreement between calculated and experimental J-values are indicated with blue color in figures 3a-c (methyl α -Dgalactopyranoside) and 4a-c (methyl α -D-glucopyranoside). Plotting the contours for the ${}^{3}J_{HH}$, ${}^{3}J_{CH}$ and ${}^{2}J_{CH}$ values in the same graph (figure 3d/4d) shows that conformer ratios with low RMS for all seven couplings can be identified for the galacto- (*gt:tg*::75:25) and glucopyranoside (*gt:gg*::50:50). The absence of the *gg* and *tg* rotamers in the galacto- and gluco-compounds respectively is explained by the unfavourable 1,3-syn-axial interaction between O4 and O6 [7]. In the galactosides the *gt* rotamer is preferred over the *tg* rotamer since the former is stabilized by the *gauche*-effect [8]. The differences in coupling constants between anomers suggest a slight increase of the tg rotamer in the β -D-galactoand of the *gt* rotamer in the β -D-glucopyranosides compared to the corresponding α -pyranosides.

Hydroxyl orientation

Using the ${}^{2}J_{HH}$ and ${}^{1}J_{CC}$ values, and the ratios of the C5-C6 conformers determined above, an estimate of the C6-O6 rotamers can be made. For both galacto and gluco compounds the preferred C5-C6-O6-HO6 is gauche. The slightly higher values of $^{2}J_{\text{HH}}$ and $^{1}J_{\text{CC}}$ in the *galacto* compounds may be due to a small amount of the trans rotamer.

Conclusion

The homo- and hetero-nuclear coupling constants related to the C5-C6 torsion angle have been investigated by *ab initio* methods. Seven coupling constants, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ (2), ${}^{3}J_{CH}$ (2) and ${}^{2}J_{CH}$ (3), were found to depended mainly on the rotameric distribution around the C5-C6 bond. Two coupling constants, ${}^{1}J_{CC}$ and ${}^{2}J_{HH}$, depend on the C6-O6 torsion and may be used to estimate the 6-hydroxyl conformation.

homo- and heteronuclear coupling constants involving H6R, H6S or C6, except ${}^{3}J_{C4,C6}$ and ${}^{3}J_{C1,C6}$, were calculated.

The C6-O6 torsion influences the calculated coupling constants both directly by changing the coupling magnitude for a given value of the ω torsion and indirectly by changing the value of ω in the staggered rotamers. Only the ${}^{3}J_{H5,H6}$, ${}^{3}J_{C4,H6}$ and ${}^{2}J_{C6,H5}$ coupling constants are free of direct influences from the C6-O6 torsion.

Of the examined couplings the ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ (figure 1), ${}^{3}J_{CH}$, ${}^{2}J_{CH}$ (figure 2) and ${}^{2}J_{CC}$ values are most easily related to the ω torsion whilst ${}^{1}J$ values seem to be sensitive to a number of different influences. The ${}^{2}J_{HH}$ and ${}^{1}J_{CC}$ values are strongly correlated with the orientation of the hydroxyl group. The calculated ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ values are in excellent agreement with experimental values in carbohydrates and those predicted by a frequently used empirical equation [4] (figure 1).

Experimental coupling constants

Four methyl glycopyranosides; methyl αand β-Dgalactopyranoside and methyl α - and β -D-glucopyranoside; were prepared selectively ¹³C-labeled in position 4, 5 or 6 (total of 12 compounds)[5]. The ¹³C-¹³C coupling constants were measured directly from the splittings in the 1-D ¹³C-NMR spectra whereas the ¹H-¹H and ¹³C-¹H coupling constants were determined by spin simulation of the 1-D ¹H-NMR spectra [6] (table 1&2). The sign of $^{2}J_{CH}$ is known to vary but could in most cases be determined in the simulation. No ${}^{2}J_{C4,C6}$ couplings were observed ($J \leq 0.5$ Hz).

Table 1:Experimental ${}^{3}J_{HH}$, ${}^{3}J_{CH}$ and ${}^{2}J_{CH}$ for the four methyl glycopyranosides

or D galacto	B D galacto	or D aluco	R D aluco
u-D-yalacio	p-D-yalacio	u-D-giuco	p-D-giuco

³ J _{H5,H6R}	8.13	7.89	5.55	6.13
³ J _{H5,H6S}	4.17	4.36	2.29	2.22
$^{3}J_{C4,H6R}$	1.8	1.9	1.2	1.1
${}^{3}J_{C4,H6S}$	1.7	1.7	2.3	2.4
² J _{C6 H5}	-5.2	-5.5	-1.5	(-)2.1

Figure 4: RMS deviation between calculated and experimental $^{3}J_{HH}$ (a) $^{3}J_{CH}$ (b) and $^{2}J_{CH}$ (c) values respectively in methyl α -Dglucopyranoside. An overlay of the 1Hz contours is shown in d.



gg (ω≈-65°)

The computational results were used to interpret the coupling constants in four glucosides. In glucosides with axial O4 the preferred rotamers where gt and tg (75:25), in those with equatorial O4 gt: and gg (50:50). The use of ${}^{3}J_{HH}$, ${}^{3}J_{CH}$ and ${}^{2}J_{CH}$ values gave similar results. The preferred orientation of the 6hydroxyl is gauche to C5.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by a grant from Omicron Biochemicals, Inc. of South Bend, Indiana, and by the United States Department of Energy. R.S. wishes to thank Knut och Alice Wallenbergs Stiftelse (Stockholm, Sweden) for financial support.

References

- [1] Bock, K.; Duus, J. O. J. Carbohydr. Chem. **1994**, *13*, 513-543
- [2] Tvaroska, I.; Gajdos, J. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1995**, *271*, 151-162
- [3] Carmichael, I. J. Phys. Chem. 1993, 97, 1789-1792.
- [4] Haasnoot, C. A. G.; de Leeuw, F. A. A. M.; Altona, C. Tetrahedron 1980, 36, 2783-2792
- [5] Serianni, A. S.; Vourinen, T.; Bondo, P. B.; J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1990, 9, 513-541
- [6] a) Laatikainen, R.; Niemitz, M.; Weber, U.; Sundelin, J.; Hassinen, T.; Vepsalainen, J. J. Magn. Reson. A 1996, 120, 1-10.
 - b) Laatikainen, R.; Niemitz, M.; Malaisse, W. J.; Biesemans, M.; Willem, R. Magn. Reson. Med. 1996, 36, 359-365.
- [7] Hassel, O.; Ottar, B. Acta Chem. Scand. **1947**, *1*, 929-942
- [8] Wolfe, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 1975, 5, 102-111



